

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/846,588

Applicant(s)

GOLDMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1633

## All Participants:

(1) Michael L. Goldman.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005

Time: 4:00-5:00 pm

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*After-final amended claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DAVE TRONG NGUYEN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney Goldman to propose amendments as they appear in the examiner's amendment to reflect the allowable subject matter identified in the personal interview held on 8/2/05. Attorney Goldman accepted the proposed amendments on 11/01/05.



**DAVE TRONG NGUYEN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**